ISSN: 2519-7053 (Print)
ISSN: 2519-7878 (Online)

NJHS

National Journal of Health Sciences

Vol. 10, No. 4,

2025

Quarterly

- *Breakthrough Pharmacotherapy Redefining Obesity Management*
- *E-Cigarette Use Linked to Significant Oral Health Complications*
- *Critical Gaps in VTE Prophylaxis for Pregnant Women*

NiBD Publications

www.njhsciences.com

NJHS
National Journal of Health Sciences

Editorial Board

Editorial Team

Patron-in-Chief
Mr. Mohiuddin Chawla

Editor-in-Chief
Dr. Tabinda Ashfaq
MBBS, FCPS, FRCGP
Outreach Clinical Lead, Department of Family Medicine, The Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan.

Editor
Dr. Tasneem Farzana
MBBS, MCPS, Dip Haem, FRCP (London)
National Institute of Blood Disease & Bone Marrow Transplantation, Lahore Campus, Pakistan.

Managing Editor
Muhammad Akif Ali Atif

Founding Editor-In-Chief
Dr. Tahir S. Shamsi (Late)
MBBS, MRCPath, FRCPath
National Institute of Blood Disease & Bone Marrow Transplantation, Karachi, Pakistan.

Advisory Board

Dr. Fatima Riaz
MBBS, FCPS (Family Medicine), MRCGP (International) Family Medicine
Department of Family & Community Medicine, College of Medicine, King Khalid University Abha, KSA

Dr. S.H. Waqar
MBBS, FCPS, MCPS-HPE, FACS, CME
PIMS and Federal Medical College, Islamabad, Pakistan.

Dr. Tabinda Ashfaq
MBBS, FCPS, FRCGP
Outreach Clinical Lead, Department of Family Medicine, The Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan.

Dr. Tasneem Farzana
MBBS, MCPS, Dip Haem, FRCP (London)
National Institute of Blood Disease & Bone Marrow Transplantation, Lahore Campus, Pakistan.

National

Dr. Abdul Majeed Chaudhry
MBBS, FRCS, FCPS, MHPE, FCSSL, FASI
Lahore Medical & Dental College, Lahore, Pakistan.

Dr. Aizaz Mand Ahmad
MBBS, FCPS (Medicines), FCPS (Nephrology), FRCP (London)
Evercare Hospital Lahore, Pakistan.

Dr. Farid Ahmed
MBBS, FCPS, FRCS (UK)
Alrazi Health care, Surgimed Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan.

Dr. Nazish Saqlain
MBBS, FCPS (Haematology)
Postgraduate Medical Institute/ Ameer-ud-din Medical College/ Lahore General Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan.

Dr. Nazli Hossain
MBBS, FCPS (Obs & Gyne), MBE
Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi, Pakistan.

Dr. Qamaruddin Baloch
MBBS, FCPS (Surgery)
Al-Tibn Medical College & Hospital Isra University Karachi Campus, Pakistan.

Dr. Rahat-Ul-Ain
MBBS, FCPS (Paeds), FCPS (Paeds/ Haem/Onco)
The Children's Hospital and Institute of Child Health, Lahore, Pakistan.

Dr. S.H. Waqar
MBBS, FCPS, MCPS-HPE, FACS, CME
PIMS and Federal Medical College, Islamabad, Pakistan.

Dr. Soufia Farrukh
F.C.P.S, F.R.C.S. (Glasgow)
Ophthalmology PGD & MSc (MED. EDU.)
Quaid-e-Azam Medical College, Bahawalpur, Pakistan.

International

Dr. Gulali Aktas
MD, PhD (Internal Medicine)
Department of Internal Medicine in Faculty of Medicine, Abant Izzet Baysal University, Golkoy, 14030, Bolu, Trkiye.

Dr. Mohiuddin Ahmed Khan
MBBS, FCPS (Hematology, BCPS, FRCP)
Department of Hematology, Dhaka Medical College Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Dr. Muhammad Akram
M.D., M.B.A., F.A.C.C., F.S.C.A.I.(Cardiology)
Cardiac Center of Texas, USA.

Dr Najam Us Saqib
M.B., B.S., DA (Eng.), FCARCS (Ire.)
Doncaster & Bassetlaw NHS Foundation, Bassetlaw Hospital, United Kingdom.

Dr. Suleman Atique
PhD (Biomed. Informatics)
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Oslo University Hospital (OUH), Rikshospitalet, Oslo, Norway.

Editorial Secretariat

Ms. Maria Baig
Editorial Manager

Published under No. DC/EAST/HVC/Leg Br.24/2016 for NIBD Publications and printed at KAGZI Printers, Karachi, Pakistan.

Plot # 16, Maqbool Society, Block 7-8, Lal Muhammad Chaudhry Road, Karachi 75350, Pakistan.
(92 21) 34392398 & 34392399, www.njhsciences.com

Note: ORCID® is a registered trademark and the ORCID logo and iD icon are trademarks of ORCID, Inc.

NJHS
National Journal of Health Sciences

About Us:

The National Journal of Health Sciences (NJHS) is the official publication of the National Institute of Blood Disease & Bone Marrow Transplantation (NIBD & BMT), Karachi, Pakistan. The journal serves as a dedicated platform for healthcare professionals, fostering the dissemination of substantial and credible contributions to the field of health sciences.

National Journal of Health Sciences publishes high-quality, peer-reviewed scholarly articles encompassing a wide range of research disciplines in both electronic and print formats. The journal offers an efficient and user-friendly submission system designed to facilitate a smooth and timely publication process. All submitted manuscripts undergo a rigorous double-blind peer review process, ensuring the highest standards of academic integrity and scientific excellence.

Each issue of National Journal of Health Sciences features clinically relevant and evidence-based research, readily accessible to the medical professionals, with the aim of advancing healthcare knowledge and fostering research development across the health sciences community.

Vision Statement:

The National Journal of Health Sciences is committed to driving trans-formative progress in healthcare by fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, advancing equity in scientific dialogue, and promoting excellence in research dissemination.

We envision a future where high-quality, evidence-based knowledge serves as the foundation for measurable improvements in patient outcomes, healthcare systems, and population health worldwide.

Mission Statement:

At National Journal of Health Sciences, our mission is to uphold the highest standards of ethical integrity and scholarly excellence in health sciences publishing. We provide an inclusive and dynamic platform for the dissemination of rigorously reviewed research, aligned with international best practices, including ICMJE, COPE, and EQUATOR guidelines.

Committed to fostering transparent scientific dialogue, we emphasize robust peer review, editorial integrity, and equitable access to knowledge. By championing innovation, diverse perspectives, and translational relevance, National Journal of Health Sciences empowers researchers and healthcare professionals to address critical health challenges and contribute to sustainable, evidence-based solutions that advance global healthcare.

Disclaimer:

The content published in the National Journal of Health Sciences (NJHS), including but not limited to research articles, reviews, editorials, perspectives, letters to the editor, case reports, short communication reflects the views and opinions of the individual authors and does not necessarily represent the official position or endorsement of National Journal of Health Sciences, its Publisher, Editorial Board, Editors, or Editorial Staff. Authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, integrity, and originality of their submitted and published work, including all data, analyses, interpretations, and conclusions. This responsibility extends to ensuring compliance with ethical guidelines, research standards, and applicable laws, including those related to copyright, intellectual property, and data privacy.

National Journal of Health Sciences, its Publisher, Editorial Board, Editors, and Editorial Staff disclaim any liability for:

Errors or omissions: We do not warrant the completeness or accuracy of the information published. **Consequences of use:** We shall not be held liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, consequential, or punitive damages arising from the use of or reliance on the content published in National Journal of Health Sciences. **Third-party content:** We are not responsible for the content of any external websites or resources linked to or referenced in the journal. **Ethical breaches:** Authors are responsible for ethical compliance, and National Journal of Health Sciences (NJHS) shall not be held liable for any ethical breaches committed by authors. **Legal liabilities:** We are not responsible for any legal issues that may arise from the publication of authors' content.

Authors warrant that their submissions are original, do not infringe upon the rights of others, and have not been previously published or are under consideration for publication elsewhere, except as disclosed in their submission. Authors agree to indemnify and hold harmless National Journal of Health Sciences, its Editorial Board, Editors, and Editorial Staff from any claims, liabilities, or expenses arising from their published work.

By submitting a manuscript to National Journal of Health Sciences, authors acknowledge that they have read, understood, and agreed to this disclaimer and its terms. This disclaimer is subject to change without notice.

NJHS
National Journal of Health Sciences

Table of Content
Volume 10, Issue 4, 2025

Editorial

220 Transforming Obesity Care: Medications at the Forefront

Tabinda Ashfaq

Research Articles

222 Association of E-Cigarette Use and Oral Health Complications: A Cross Sectional Study in Punjab, Pakistan

Muhammad Taaha, Naila Umer, Hafiz Danish Altaf, Rabia Anjum, Hammad Hassan, Safi Ullah Khan

230 Is Obesity Associated with a Higher Risk of Differentiated Thyroid Cancer in a Cytologically Proven Series of Thyroid Nodules?

Irfan Ahmed Shaikh, Danish Ur Rehman, Sidra Bano, Rajesh Kumar, Ramsha Khalid, Raheem Bakhsh

238 Diagnostic Accuracy of Ultrasound in Detecting Axillary Lymph Nodes in Patients with Early Breast Cancer Taking Histopathology as a Gold Standard

Ambreen Shaikh, Saleha Anwar, Hasnain Ali, Raisa Altaf Malik, Humaira Erum, Bisma Rizwan

244 Role of Heparin to Prevent Hypertrophic Scarring in Donor Area of Split Thickness Skin Graft

Asma Ishtiaq, Faisal Akhlaq Ali Khan, Farhan Zahid, Iqra Muhammad Tasleem, Asra Zahid, Nasreen Bano Khatri

251 Outcomes of Intestinal Anastomosis with or without Platelet Rich Plasma Application

Syeda Wajiha Mustafa, Malik Asad Munir, Atika Tahir, Batool Fatima, Muhammad Azam Mirza, Muhammad Kashif Bashir

258 Risk Assessment of Venous Thromboembolism in Pregnancy and Adequate Prophylaxis: An Observational Study

Aliya Nasim, Sagheera Anjum Manaver, Naima Shah, Humaira Tahir, Mehvish Kanval

264 Experience in Pediatric Bleeding Disorders in Tertiary Care Health Services from Southern Region of Saudi Arabia: Single Center Retrospective Study

Badriah Gharamah Al Asmari, Ali Mujtaba Tahir, Mohammed Alpakra, Mohaned Mustafa Ahmed Mohammad, Sara Saeed Mahamoud Hassanien, Mahnoor Saeed, Manea Abdullah Alqarni, Sami Saleh Alamri

271 Prevalence of Depression and Anxiety in Patients with Obstructive Lung Disease and Correlation with Spirometry

Ehab Nabeel, Sohail Akhtar, Ahmed Ali, Syed Wajahat Hussain, Mujahid Hussain

279 In-Hospital Outcomes of Early Extubation in Post CABG Patients: A Retrospective Study from a Tertiary Care Hospital in Karachi, Pakistan

Qaiser Aziz Khan, Latifa Haidari, Imran Khan Sandeelo, Marium Nastaeen Sarhandi, Sarib Bin Yasir, Mariam Amir

287 Knowledge, Attitudes & Perceptions towards Premenstrual Syndrome among Low Socioeconomic School-Going Adolescent Girls in Karachi, Pakistan

Shaikh Ali Masood, Shabbir Ahmed Mallick, Hamna Jamal, Maria Murtaza, Manahil Nadeem, Ameera Shabbir

292 Timing of Surgical Intervention and its Impact on Short-term Outcomes in Pediatric Hydrocephalus

Shakeel Ahmed, Shoaib Saleem Khan, Syed Zahid Hussain Shah, Muhammad Ali Waqas, Ubaid Ullah, Zeeshan Karim

Case Report

299 Spontaneous Resorption of Mandible in a Middle Aged Female

Hafiz Muhammad Bilal Siddiqui, Hafiz Murtaza Hussain, Talha Ahmed Qureshi, Mariam Mubashir

Research Article

Risk Assessment of Venous Thromboembolism in Pregnancy and Adequate Prophylaxis: An Observational Study

Aliya Nasim[*,1], Sagheera Anjum Manaver[2], Naima Shah[3], Humaira Tahir[4], Mehvish Kanval[1]

[1]*Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Liaquat College of Medicine and Dentistry, Karachi, Pakistan.*
[2]*Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Fazaia Ruth Pfau Medical College, Karachi, Pakistan.*
[3]*Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Darul Sehat Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan.*
[4]*SESSI Landhi Hospital and Liaquat College of Medicine and Dentistry, Karachi, Pakistan.*

Abstract: Background: Globally, Venous Thromboembolism (VTE), which includes pulmonary embolism and deep vein thrombosis, is a major cause of maternal morbidity and mortality. A hypercoagulable state is brought on by pregnancy, and risk is further increased by obesity, cesarean sections, and thrombophilia.

Objective: The purpose of this study was to determine the risk factors for VTE in pregnant women and the effectiveness of thromboprophylaxis in accordance with the recommendations of the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (RCOG)

Materials and Methods: A prospective observational study was conducted over nine month period started from November 20, 2023 to August 20, 2024 in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, LCMD/DSH Hospital, Karachi. Non-probability convenience sampling was used to recruit 246 first-trimester pregnant women. Each participant was given a VTE risk score using the RCOG method after clinical, obstetric, and demographic information was gathered. To find predictors of prophylactic use, chi-square and logistic regression tests were used in statistical analyses

Result: The mean age of participants was 28.9 ± 5 years. Cesarean section was the most frequent mode of delivery (69.8%), and obesity (BMI > 30 kg/m²) was present in 13.2%. Based on RCOG scoring, 34.3% were high-risk, 44.9% moderate-risk, and 20.4% low-risk for VTE. Only 28.2% received thromboprophylaxis. Obesity (OR = 6.56; $p < 0.001$), high-risk score (OR = 8.41; $p < 0.001$), and cesarean delivery (OR = 2.58; $p = 0.01$) were significant predictors of prophylaxis use.

Conclusion: A substantial proportion of pregnant women were at moderate to high risk for VTE, yet prophylaxis use was suboptimal. Incorporating structured RCOG-based risk assessment and improving adherence to thromboprophylaxis guidelines could significantly reduce VTE-related maternal morbidity and mortality.

Keywords: Venous thromboembolism, Pregnancy, Thromboprophylaxis, Risk assessment, Cesarean section, Obesity.

INTRODUCTION

Venous Thromboembolism (VTE) composed of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE) is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in the world [1]. Pregnancy is a known hypercoagulable condition, and the probability of getting VTE in antepartum period is five times higher and up to 60 times during postpartum period than age-matched non-pregnant women [2]. This increased risk is further espoused by the increasing maternal age, the upsurge in obesity and the tendency to avoid normal delivery at Caesarean section [3].

In spite of the improved diagnostic and treatment methods used, VTE remains a cause of maternal death especially in developed nations. VTE in the United States contributes to the maternal mortality rate in the country at around 10 percent and PE is the leading cause [4]. The maternal mortality rate as a result of VTE is estimated to be 1.5 per 100, 000 pregnancies in the United Kingdom as the European countries [5, 6]. One of the largest retrospective studies which consisted of over 72, 000 deliveries estimated the incidence of DVT as 0.71 per 1000 births of which 0.5% were in the antenatal period and 0.21 in the post-partum care [7, 8]. Interestingly, the risk is more severe within the first six weeks after childbirth, which is taken as the most susceptible [9]. The incidence and presentation of VTE are different depending on vascular health, ethnicity, as well as population-based risk factors. It has been observed in women, who have either a personal or family history of VTE, thrombophilia, assisted reproductive practices, and in women, who complain of having one or more comorbidities like diabetes and hypertension. Racial and ethnic inequalities are still present as well, with the African American women being reported to have an extremely higher risk in comparison to the White Caucasian women [10, 11]. Since, VTE may have fatal outcomes and can be difficult to diagnose, it is particularly essential to be able to recognize and prevent it. As unattended, about a quarter of the number of cases of DVT may lead to PE, which means urgency in recognizing and addressing the disease. Luckily, proper risk

* Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Liaquat College of Medicine and Dentistry, Karachi, Pakistan. Email: aliyaakhter@yahoo.com

 © 2025 NIBD Publications

assessment and prophylaxis of VTE are largely preventable in the presence of proper professional bodies namely: the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (RCOG), American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), and the Working Group in Women Health of the Society of Thrombosis and Hemostasis (GTH). They also suggest prophylaxis anticoagulation in high-risk women with confirmed scoring systems [12, 13].

Although global information may be availed, it has been discovered that there is scanty local literature on the occurrence and risk factors of VTE in pregnancy in our society. The actual burden of disease is underreported which is probably because of inadequate surveillance and awareness [14]. Hence, the rationale of the study is to investigate the risk factors of having VTE among our obstetric population and to highlight the need to have regular risk assessment and proper prophylaxis to curb maternal morbidity and mortality and based on the observed gaps in local data regarding VTE risk assessment and the effectiveness of thromboprophylaxis, we hypothesize that multiple maternal risk factors significantly contribute to VTE occurrence, and that appropriate prophylactic measures can reduce this risk.

The purpose of this study was to determine the multiple risk factors of venous thromboembolism (VTE) in pregnancy and the post-partum stage of the obstetric population in the area, and to determine suitability of prophylaxis against pregnant and postpartum women.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The proposed study is a prospective observational study conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Liaquat College of Medicine & Dentistry/Dar ul Sehat, Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan. The study duration was of nine months starts from November 20, 2023 to August 20, 2024 after having approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) bearing number (Ref. No. IRB/M-000074/23, Dated: November 13, 2023).

In the study, non-probability convenience sampling is used. The sample size is calculated using the sample size calculator Openepi version 3 software. The desired sample size is calculated to be 246, using a 95% confidence level, 3.2 % margin of error, and an expected prevalence of VTE of 0.071%.

All pregnant women presenting in the first trimester (from conception up to 14 weeks of gestation) were included. Women with a pre-existing diagnosis of DVT and the women already on anticoagulant therapy (e.g., heparin, LMWH) were excluded.

All eligible pregnant women attending the antenatal booking clinic during their first trimester were invited to participate. Informed consent was obtained, and the purpose of the study explained in detail. Participants were enrolled consecutively, and a structured proforma is completed by a trained nurse. The proforma is divided into two parts: Part A: Demographic and Clinical Data containing Age, parity, residence, occupation, Previous mode of delivery, History of miscarriage, dilatation and evacuation (D&E), or cesarean section, Complications in current pregnancy, Mode of delivery and birth outcome, Use of heparin or low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) Part B: VTE Risk Assessment Tool form The Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (RCOG) Risk factors will be assessed, scored, and the corresponding management plan is documented. This form is attached to the patient's antenatal record at first visit, and reassessed at delivery and postpartum follow-up (1 week). If any additional risk factors develop during pregnancy (e.g., hyperemesis, prolonged bed rest, hypertensive disorders), the form is updated

Participants are followed through the antenatal period until delivery and postpartum. Outcomes recorded included: Mode of delivery (MOD): spontaneous, induced labor (IOL), or cesarean, Amniotic fluid leakage, antepartum/postpartum hemorrhage and neonatal outcomes: birth weight, sex of the baby, and NICU admissions, Participants are the classified into low, medium, or high-risk groups based on the RCOG risk assessment and prophylaxis given is observed

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

SPSS version 23 was used for statistical analysis. Relevant descriptive statistics, frequency and percentage was obtained for presentation of qualitative variables like, residence, patient and husband occupation, mode of delivery. Quantitative variable like age, no. of children, gestational age and risk score for VTE is presented by mean standard deviation. Chi-square tests were used to examine associations with risk factors, and t-tests were used to analyze continuous variables. Exploratory multivariate binary logistic regression was also performed and reported.

RESULT

A total of 246 patients were included in the study. The mean age was 28.9 ± 5 years (18–42 years). Among the participants, 58 (23.6%) were primigravida, 149 (60.5%) were multigravida, and 39 (15.8%) were grand multipara with parity greater than five. The mean gravidity was 2.9 ± 1.7 [1-8]. A history of miscarriage was observed in 79 patients (32.2%). Of these, 48 (19.6%) had experienced a single miscarriage, 24 (9.8 %) had two miscarriages while recurrent miscarriages (more than three) were reported in 7 cases (2.9%). The mean BMI of the study population was 25.0 ± 3.3 (18–33 kg/m²). Obesity (BMI >30 kg/m²) was identified in 32 patients (13.1%), while 213 (86.9%) were non obese BMI Regarding the mode of delivery, cesarean section was the most common, performed in 171 cases (69.8%). Normal vaginal delivery occurred in 64 patients (26.1%), and instrumental vaginal delivery was the least frequent, observed in 10 cases (4.1%). Singleton pregnancies were predominant, with 241 (98.3%) cases, while only 4 (1.7%) involved multiple gestations. Low-risk thrombophilia was identified in 29 patients (11.8%). The mean VTE risk score was 2.3 ± 2.01. While observing new born birth weight 28(11.4%) had <2.5 kg weight, 208 (82.3%) were of 2.5-4 kg and 11 (4.5%) were of > 4 kg weight (Table **1**).

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Study Population.

Variable	Mean ± SD / n (%)
Age (years)	28.9 5.0
Gravida	2.9 ± 1.7
History of Miscarriage	79 (32.2%)
BMI (kg/m²)	25.0 ± 3.3
VTE Risk Score	2.3 ± 2.01
Mode of Delivery	
Normal Vaginal Delivery (NVD)	64 (26.1%)
Instrumental Vaginal Delivery (IVD)	10 (4.1%)
Cesarean Section (LSCS)	171 (69.8%)
History of Thrombophilia	
Yes	29 (11.8%)
No	216 (88.2%)
Obesity (BMI >30 kg/m²)	
Yes	32 (13.2%)
No	213 (86.8%)
Newborn Birth Weight	
<2.5 kg	28 (11.4%)
2.5–4 kg	208 (82.3%)
>4 kg	11 (4.5%)

According to the RCOG guidelines and the VTE risk assessment tool, thromboprophylaxis is recommended during early pregnancy for women with a prenatal VTE risk score of ≥4, from 28 weeks of gestation for those with a score of 3, and for a minimum of 10 days postpartum in women with a postpartum score of ≥2, provided there are no contraindications to anticoagulation. Table **2** shows the use of thromboprophylaxis in our study where 176 (71.8%) did not receive any type of anti-coagulation ,43(17.6%) received aspirin. 18(7.3%) received LMWH and only 9 patients (3.3%) received both Aspirin 75mg and LMWH.

Table 2. Thromboprophylaxis during the Antenatal Period.

Thromboprophylaxis Type	Number of Patients (n)	Percentage (%)
None	176	71.8%
Aspirin 75mg only	43	17.6%
LMWH only	18	7.3%
Aspirin 75mg+ LMWH	9	3.3%
Total	**246**	**100%**

In our study population, 50 women (20.4%) were categorized as low risk (VTE risk score = 0), 110 (44.9%) as medium risk (score 1–3), and 84 (34.3%) as high risk (score >3). The average VTE risk score was 2.3. Regarding preexisting risk factors, only one patient (0.4%) was on anticoagulation therapy before pregnancy due to a history of recurrent miscarriage and deep vein thrombosis. None of the participants reported a history of recurrent provoked VTE, active autoimmune disease, or comorbidities such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) or nephrotic syndrome. Thrombophilia (either high or low risk) was identified in 42 patients (11.8%). Patients more than 35 years of age were 40 (16%), BMI > 30 is found in 32 patients (13%) parity of >3 is recorded in 53 patients (13.4%) and varicose veins are found in 5 patients. Antenatal hospitalization occurred in 27 patients (11.2%), most commonly due to per vaginal bleeding. Also, 5 patients (2%), were hospitalized with hyperemesis gravidarum. 4 patients (1.6 per cent) underwent surgery during pregnancy, 3 cervical cerclages, and 1 antenatal polypectomy. The number of patients who had immobilization during pregnancy is only (0.8) or one and it was due to placenta previa and hemorrhage. No participant stated that they had smoked during pregnancy. Assessment of obstetric risk factors indicates that planned cesarean section contributed greatly with 132 cases (53.9%) with cesarean section in labor accounts for 31 cases (12.7%), prolonged labor is observed in 4 patients (1.6%), Pre eclampsia is found in 13 while pre-term labor and PPH is seen in 9(3.7%) Prophylaxis was also used to 69 patients (28.2 percent) in the antenatal period. RCOG VTE risk assessment model clearly divides the risk factors into pre-existing, obstetric and new-onset or transient risk factors. Table **3** shows how many patients belong to these risks.

Table 3. Risk factors of VTE.

Factors	Cases	Proportion %
History of previous VTE (except for surgery related VTE history)	0	0
Surgery-related VTE history	0	0
Known high-risk thrombophilia	0	0
Medical complications, such as cancer, cardiopulmonary disease, SLE, inflammatory polyarthrosis, inflammatory bowel disease, nephrotic syndrome, type 1 diabetic nephropathy, sickle cell disease	0	0
Family history of VTE with unknown cause or estrogen in first-degree relatives	0	0
Known low-risk thrombophilia	29	11.8
Age (> 35 yr old)	40	16
Obesity (BMI > 30)	32	13.1
Parity ≥ 3	5	2
Varicose veins	13	5.3
Smoking	0	0
Preeclampsia	7	2.9
Assisted reproductive technology	132	53.9
Multiple pregnancies	31	12.7

Continue

Continue

Caesarean section	4	1.6
Cesarean section in labour	9	3.7
Extended labour (> 24 h)	9	3.7
Postpartum haemorrhage (bleeding > 1000 mL or blood transfusion)	0	0
Pregnancy or puerperal surgery (except for perineal repair immediately after delivery)	5	2
Hyperemesis gravidarum	0	0
Ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome	27	11
Hospitalization or bed rest, immobilization ≥ 3 d	1	0.4

Patients classified as obese, smokers, high-risk and those hospitalized all showed significant associations with use of prophylaxis in Chi-square analysis. Further exploratory analysis was conducted using multivariate logistic regression. Obesity (OR = 6.56; 95% CI 2.96–14.56; p < 0.001), Risk Score (OR = 8.41; 95% CI 4.48–15.78; p < 0.001), and cesarean delivery (OR = 2.58; 95% CI 1.29–5.18; p = 0.01) were observed as the strongest independent predictors of prophylaxis use (Table **4**). Both age (OR = 1.10; p < 0.001) and BMI (OR = 1.21; p < 0.001) also remained significant predictors after adjustment. Thrombophilia (OR = 55.93; 95% CI 12.79–244.55; p < 0.001) also remained significant, but the wide CIs limit interpretability of model output.

Table 4. Predictors of use of Thrombo Prophylaxis in Pregnancy.

Variable	OR	Cl-low	Cl-high	p-value
Obesity	6.56	2.96	14.56	<0.001
Cesarean	2.58	1.29	5.18	0.01
Thrombophilia	55.93	12.79	244.55	<0.001
HighRisk	8.41	4.48	15.78	<0.001
Age	1.10	1.04	1.17	<0.001
BMI	1.21	1.11	1.32	<0.001

Multivariate binary logistic regression showing predictors of prophylaxis use amongst pregnant women.

DISCUSSION

This paper evaluated VTE risk in 246 pregnant women measured on the RCOG score and appraised the use of antenatal thromboprophylaxis. A significant percentage were moderate to high risk of VIT meaning systematic risk stratification is crucial as well as prompt prophylaxis. The average age (28.9 +- 5 years) and the large proportion of people with multiple births (60.1, 15.8 grand multipara) indicates normal obstetric demographics. All these put together with the history of miscarriage (32.2) add up to an increased risk of thrombosis [15]. There were 13.2 percent participants who were obese (BMI >30 kg/m^2), and the mean BMI was 25.0 +- 3.3. The current literature of large-scale studies can affirm that even small changes to BMI during early pregnancy are strongly associated with an increase in the long-term VTE risk up to 2.35 to 3.5-fold of BMI, directly proportional to the BMI during pregnancy 30-35 kg/m^2 [16]. This highlights the significance of working on obesity as a measure of risk in antenatal care. Thrombophilia was detected in 11.8 per cent -which is in agreement with global trends in obstetric populations [17]. This is in accordance with the changing recommendations, implying personalized thromboprophylaxis in both the low and high-risk instances of thrombophilia, balancing the risk of VTE with hemorrhage [18]. Cesarean delivery, which is a well-documented risk factor of VTE in our cohort observed in 69.8 percent. Recent changes in perioperative care suggest mechanical prophylaxis in all cases of cesarean section, and pharmacologic prophylaxis (LMWH 7 days in routine, 6 weeks in patients at risk) Minor surgical (1.6) and antenatal hospitalization (11.2) have transitory risk of VTE. The present European guidelines recommend the use of thromboprophylaxis in these cases until maximum regaining of ambulation [19].

The risk scoring done by RCOG showed that 34.3, 44.9 and 20.4 percent of the women were high-risk, moderate-risk, and low-risk respectively (mean score 2.3+-2.01). But there was only 28.2% use of anti-thrombotic that was given to the pregnant women in the form of antithrombotic prophylaxis (aspirin, LMWH, or both); the remaining 71.8% were not given antithrombotic prophylaxis. Like underuse has been attested in various investigations conducted in the middle income zones pointing to the areas of consistent guideline-practice divergence [20]. Recent cohort studies indicate a gradual increasing VTE risk of the antenatal women since the year 2009-2019 (9.2% per year) where obesity was the most modifiable risk factor (adjusted RR 1.91), then cesarean delivery and other comorbid conditions [21]. Our information in which we can see similar regional trends also supports the implementation of structured risk evaluation into regular antenatal cars.

The current study also showed that obesity, higher VTE risk score, and cesarean delivery were the most significant predictors of prophylaxis use. These results are validated by the available literature, highlighting that higher BMI and type of delivery increase the likelihood of thromboembolic events during pregnancy [22]. The relationship of age, smoking and BMI with prophylaxis use also demonstrates appropriate identification by clinicians of women at higher physiological risk [23]. Although thrombophilia showed a strong statistical association, the wide confidence intervals in our model suggest the need for cautious interpretation and larger sample validation. The results underline the importance of using structured risk scoring systems, such as the RCOG model and others (such as the Caprini Score), to ensure that prophylaxis is given to those most likely to benefit [24].

LIMITATIONS

This is a single-center study and, therefore, might not be an adequate reflection of the large population. Additional limitations

are a possible under diagnosis of thrombophilia, and the unavailability of data on postpartum outcomes of thrombosis as well as incidence of DVT in post-partum period due to lack of follow up of patients [25].

CONCLUSION

The proportion of patients in the study population who were classified as moderate to high risk for VTE was considerable; however, less than one-third of those identified as at risk actually received thromboprophylaxis. This gap highlights the urgent need to implement structured and evidence-based risk assessment strategies, such as the RCOG VTE risk assessment model, within routine antenatal and postpartum care. Strengthening preventive measures like targeting obesity, cesarean prophylaxis, and improving adherence to internationally recognized guidelines has the potential to significantly reduce maternal morbidity and mortality associated with VTE. Further researches are of utmost need to identify the barriers for effective prophylaxis, under estimation of high risk patients by health professionals and lack of local available protocols.

ABBREVIATIONS

ACOG: American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.

DVT: Deep Vein Thrombosis.

D&E: Dilatation & Evacuation.

IOL: Induced Labor.

LMWH: Low Molecular Weight Heparin.

MOD: Mode of Delivery.

PE: Pulmonary Embolism.

RCOG: Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.

GTH: The Society of Thrombosis and Hemostasis.

VTE: Venous Thromboembolism.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Aliya Nasim: Conceptualization, Study design, Writing draft.

Sagheera Anjum Manaver: Conceptualization, Writing draft.

Naima Shah: Methodology, Data analysis and interpretation, Critical review and revision the manuscript.

Humaira Tahir: Study design, Writing draft.

Mehvish Kanval: Methodology, Data analysis and interpretation, Writing draft.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

ETHICAL DECLARATIONS

Data Availability Statement

Data will be available from the corresponding author upon a reasonable request.

Ethical Approval

This study was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of Liaquat College of Medicine & Dentistry/Dar ul Sehat, Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan. (Ref. No. IRB/M-000074/23, Dated: November 13, 2023).

Consent to Participate

All the study participants were enlisted with their written informed consent.

Consent for Publication

All authors give consent for the publication of this work.

Conflict of Interest

Declared none.

Competing Interest/Funding

Declared none.

Use of AI-Assisted Technologies

The authors declare that no generative artificial intelligence (AI) or AI-assisted technologies were utilized in the writing of this manuscript, in the creation of images/graphics/tables/captions, or in any other aspect of its preparation.

REFERENCES

[1] Kuriya A, Piedimonte S, Spence AR, Czuzoj-Shulman N, Kezouh A, Abenhaim HA. Incidence and causes of maternal mortality in the USA. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 2016; 42(06): 661-81.

[2] Knight M, Bunch K, Tuffnell D, Patel R, Shakespeare J, Kotnis R, *et al,* Eds. Saving Lives, Improving Mothers' Care: Lessons Learned to Inform Maternity Care from the UK and Ireland Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths and Morbidity 2017-19. Oxford: National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford 2019.

[3] Devis P, Knuttinen MG. Deep venous thrombosis in pregnancy: Incidence, pathogenesis and endovascular management. Cardiovasc Diagn Ther 2017; 7(Suppl 3): S309-S19. DOI: 10.21037/cdt.2017.10.08. PMID: 29399535; PMCID: PMC5778511.

[4] Lutsey PL, Zakai NA. Epidemiology and prevention of venous thromboembolism. Nat Rev Cardiol 2023; 20(4): 248-62. DOI: 10.1038/s41569-022-00787-6. Epub 2022 Oct 18. PMID: 36258120; PMCID: PMC9579604.

[5] Gris JC, Aoun J, Rzaguliyeva L, Begum R, Salah S, Tugushi T, *et al.* Risk assessment and management of venous thromboembolism in women during pregnancy and puerperium (SAVE): An international, cross-sectional study. TH Open 2018; 2(2): e116-e30. DOI:10.1055/s-0038-1635573

[6] Kourlaba G, Relakis J, Kontodimas S, Holm MV, Maniadakis N. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the epidemiology and burden of venous thromboembolism among pregnant women. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2016; 132(1): 4-10. DOI:10.1016/j.ijgo.2015.06.054

[7] Pomp ER, Lenselink AM, Rosendaal FR, Doggen CJM. Pregnancy, the postpartum period and prothrombotic defects: Risk of venous thrombosis in the MEGA study. J Thromb Haemost 2008; 6(4): 632-7. DOI:10.1111/j.1538-7836.2008.02921.x

[8] Varrias D, Spanos M, Kokkinidis DG, Zoumpourlis P, Kalaitzopoulos DR. Venous thromboembolism in pregnancy: Challenges and solutions. Vascul Health Risk Manag 2023; 19: 469-84.

[9] Kearsley R, Stocks G. Venous thromboembolism in pregnancy diagnosis, management, and treatment. BJA Educ 2021; 21(3): 117.

[10] Edebiri O, Ní Áinle F. Risk factors, diagnosis and management of venous thromboembolic disease in pregnancy. Breathe 2022; 18: 220018. [DOI: 10.1183/20734735.0018-2022].

[11] De Blondon M, Harrington LB, Righini M, Boehlen F, Bounameaux H, Smith NL. Racial and ethnic differences in the risk of postpartum venous thromboembolism: A population-based, case-control study. J Thromb Haemost 2014; 12(12): 2002-9. DOI:10.1111/jth.12747ep

[12] Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Reducing the risk of venous thromboembolism during pregnancy and the puerperium. RCOG Green-top guideline No.37a. 2015; Available at: https://www.rcog.org.uk/media/qejfhcaj/gtg-37a.pdf

[13] Linnemann B, Scholz U, Rott H, Halimeh S, Zotz R, Gerhardt A, *et al.* Treatment of pregnancy-associated venous thromboembolism –position paper from the working Group in Women 's health of the Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis(GTH). Vasa 2016; 45(2):103-18.

[14] Khan AA, Zafar SN. Venous thromboembolism in Pakistan: A neglected research agenda. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2013; 35: 234-42.

[15] Ram S, Neuhof B, Shperling RB, Chodick G, Yogev Y. Venous thromboembolism during pregnancy: Trends, incidence, and risk patterns in a large cohort population. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2023; 160(3): 962 68.

[16] Mahmoud A, Sandblad KG, Lundberg CE, Hellsen G, Hansson PO, Adiels M, *et al.* Prepregnancy overweight and obesity and long-term risk of venous thromboembolism in women. Sci Rep 2023; 13(1): 14597.

[17] Kobayashi T, Sugiura K, Ojima T, Serizawa M, Hirai K, Morishita E. Thrombosis-related characteristics of pregnant women with antithrombin deficiency, protein C deficiency and protein S deficiency in Japan. Thrombosis J 2024; 22(1): 18.

[18] Schapkaitz E, Libhaber E, Gerber A, Rhemtula H, Zamparini J, Jacobson BF, *et al.* A longitudinal study of thrombosis and bleeding outcomes with thromboprophylaxis in pregnant women at intermediate and high risk of VTE. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost 2023; 29: 10760296231160748.

[19] Ducloy-Bouthors A-S, Baldini A, Abdul-Kadir R, Nizard J, ESA VTE Guidelines Task Force. European guidelines on perioperative venous thromboembolism prophylaxis: Surgery during pregnancy and the immediate postpartum period. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2018; 35(2): 130-33.

[20] Stančiaková L, Brisudová K, Škorňová I, Bolek T, Samoš M, Biringer K, *et al.* Evaluating thromboprophylaxis strategies for high-risk pregnancy: A current perspective. Pharmaceuticals (Basel) 2024; 17(6): 773.

[21] Kola O, Huang Y, D'Alton ME, Wright JD, Friedman A. Trends in antepartum, delivery, and postpartum venous thromboembolism. Obstet Gynecol 2025; 145(3): e98-e106.

[22] Hotoleanu C. Association between obesity and venous thromboembolism. Med Pharm Rep 2020; 93(2): 162-8. DOI:10.15386/mpr-1372.

[23] Larsen TB, Sørensen HT, Gislum M, Johnsen SP. Maternal smoking, obesity, and risk of venous thromboembolism during pregnancy and the puerperium: A population-based nested case-control study. Thromb Res 2007; 120(4): 505-9. DOI:10.1016/j.thromres.2006.12.003.

[24] Kim NE, Conway-Pearson L, Kavanah M, Mendez J, Sachs TF, Drake FT, *et al.* Standardized risk assessment and risk-stratified venous thromboembolism prophylaxis for patients undergoing breast operation. J Am Coll Surg 2020; 230(6): 947-55. DOI:10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2019.11.010.

[25] Moore GW. Thrombophilia screening: Not so straightforward. Semin Thromb Hemost 2024; 50(8): 1131-52. DOI:10.1055/s-0044-1786807

Received: November 07, 2025 Revised: December 03, 2025 Accepted: December 03, 2025

© 2025. The Authors, National Journal of Health Sciences.
This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY- NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non- commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.